

**From:** McClintock, Katie  
**Location:** R10Sea-ConfLineMM-Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy; conference code Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy; R10Sea-Room-15C-AWT/R10-Rooms-Restricted  
**Importance:** Normal  
**Subject:** FW: Discuss applicability of 40 CFR 63 Subpart 6S to Bullseye Glass, Portland Oregon  
**Start Date/Time:** Thur 2/18/2016 5:00:00 PM  
**End Date/Time:** Thur 2/18/2016 6:00:00 PM

Looping in katie since she does our applicability determinations. We will likely have been to spectrum at that point and they also consider themselves exempt (as does uroboros) so should be a lot to talk about.

-----Original Appointment-----

**From:** Narvaez, Madonna  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 11, 2016 4:35 PM  
**To:** Narvaez, Madonna; Fairchild, Susan; Throwe, Scott; McClintock, Katie; Hedgpeth, Zach; Bray, Dave  
**Subject:** Discuss applicability of 40 CFR 63 Subpart 6S to Bullseye Glass, Portland Oregon  
**When:** Thursday, February 18, 2016 9:00 AM-10:00 AM (UTC-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada).  
**Where:** R10Sea-ConfLineMM-Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy; conference code Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy R10Sea-Room-15C-AWT/R10-Rooms-Restricted

We would like to discuss “continuous” as defined by Subpart 6S, compared to actual operations at the facility. Scott,  
This is an issue that is evolving in Oregon where high hits of cadmium and arsenic were found when conducting air monitoring around a school.

## Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

Thanks!